

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-07-30
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-23
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2011-09-20
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-02-23
Date of Last Revision: 2012-07-30

Agency: 023 - General Services Administration **Bureau:** 10 - Supply and Technology Activities

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: Regional Business Application (RBA)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 023-000001060

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

RBA is an automated business system supporting the GSA' Regional financial management and procurement activities, and is comprised of three subsystems: - Common Oracle Database (CODB) - Is a data repository designed to meet central storage requirements for Regional program orders and financial management - Information Technology Solutions Shop (ITSS) - Serves as front-end application where users are registered; task orders are submitted, processed, and awarded; and client-funding documents entered - Integrated Task Order Management System (ITOMS) - Supports the financial management of task orders through the obligation of client funds to specific task orders, maintenance of the client funding documents, generation of income and expense accrual data, processing of invoices against task orders, and generation of outputs to be forwarded to the National Electronic Accounting and Reporting (NEAR) system. The following summarizes some of the RBA benefits in relation to the GSA mission and support: - By standardizing business processes through the automation of order preparation and financial management, RBA provides government agencies with value-added IT related products and services by enabling a framework to help them: Locate the right technology and vendors, Develop order requirements, Complete the requirements, Purchase the goods and services, Schedule the delivery, Administer the order, and Facilitate the goods receipt process with clients and invoice processing between GSA and vendors - Increases efficiency, streamlines workflow,

decreases operational costs, provides more choices and competition for client orders, and helps increase customer service and satisfaction - Links directly to the Agency's Annual Performance Plan through improved customer satisfaction and the promotion of responsible asset management. - Provides the ability to more effectively manage the delivery of assisted acquisition support for IT solutions and Professional Services to our agency customers.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

RBA provides mission critical support for acquisition and financial management operations. In other words, the RBA DME activities are proposed to expand the RBA capabilities given an extended life and to meet current and future legislative or organizational needs. Operating at a reduced service level would likely impact the Regions' ability to perform their core mission. The reduced helpdesk, maintenance and system infrastructure support results in the increased possibility of inadequate support, and possible system downtime, both of which directly affect Regional operations. In summary, not performing DME activities will likely result in a system unable to properly support Regional business operations core business functions.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

- An Expanded Funds Management capability was deployed during FY10. This change expanded the client funds tracking capability within the application and its utilization provides for better tracking of funds, more granularity in how task orders are defined, and improves management oversight of active and inactive task components. - Efforts were completed to upgrade supported Domino components to the latest versions of Domino, as well as deploy a replacement of the application portal with LifeRay. The Domino upgrades reduce system risk and improve sustainability as additional modernization efforts continue. Utilization of LifeRay portal provides a solid platform for integration with other applications.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

In FY2011, we: - As an interim step towards full integration with ECMS, an enhancement was deployed to incorporate an electronic file construct in RBA. It follows the tab structure approved for task/delivery orders as well as allowing users to associate specific document identifiers to attached files. Collectively this information provides the metadata required for transfer when the ECMS ECF is available for populating by AAS and AAS systems. This effort was a high visibility item by AAS. Training was provided to all users as an integrated effort between the RBA project team and AAS program management. - Completed development and deployment of a capability for the Integrated Workplace Acquisition Center to use ITSS for sourcing and order tracking. This is used for their 'special order program' set of orders and provides a capability that was not available previously. - Modified the RBA authentication model to use GSA user's active directory credential as well as their current RBA user credential. This provides similar capability to NBA and is an incremental step to incorporation of the HSPD-12 card as the authentication method for GSA users in the application. In FY2012, we will go forward with the above enhancements along with

continued discussions on how to incorporate additional capabilities, including full financial processing.

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2010-09-01

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$41.4	\$4.4	\$4.5	\$4.2
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.8	\$0.2	\$0.3	\$0.1
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$42.2	\$4.6	\$4.8	\$4.3
O & M Costs:	\$84.1	\$9.3	\$12.5	\$6.7
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$1.9	\$0.2	\$0.1	\$0.3
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$86.0	\$9.5	\$12.6	\$7.0
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$128.2	\$14.1	\$17.4	\$11.3
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$2.7	\$0.4	\$0.4	\$0.4
# of FTE rep by costs:	13	5	5	5
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.9	\$3.2	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		6.80%	22.80%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

N/A

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	4730	GST0011AJ003 2	GS06F0647Z	4730							

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

N/A

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-07-30

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
2012Proj01	AAS CAB Enhancements	AAS CAB Enhancements The AAS Bus Systems Change Advisory Board is chartered to approve system enhancements that don't rise to the category of a major project. This project provides a budgetary ceiling for the AAS Portfolio as to the number of enhancements that will be approved.			
2012Proj02	Clause Manual Integration (CMI)	The AAS task order environment does not contain any integration of FAR clause language, nor does it present functionality in its present state that allows for standard contract writing. This project will utilize web service technology to adapt FAS Enterprise investments in clause management and rules based document template processing to achieve these capabilities.			
2012Proj03	EFM Phase III	Expanded Funds Management (EFM) was deployed to the RBA (ITSS) on 16 April 2010. Subsequently, phase 2			

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
		enhancements were deployed during FY11 to address requirements deferred in initial deployment. A series of prioritized unaddressed requirements exists that will complete EFM functionality in areas involving invoicing handling, accrual processing and funds certification. This effort will round out the EFM functionality and improve both GSA user and client experience.			
2012Proj04	GWAC CAB Enhancements	The AAS Bus Systems Change Advisory Board is chartered to approve system enhancements that don't rise to the category of a major project. This project provides a budgetary ceiling for the ITS Portfolio as to the number of enhancements that will be approved.			
2012Proj05	RBA/NBA Consolidation	The initial phase of the RBA/NBA consolidation consists of development and deployment of the AAS portal thereby promoting risk mitigation, building a foundation for the beginning of consolidation of centralized services, and supporting a standardized underpinning for the new Strategic Direction. Subsequent phases will include platform remediation of vulnerable portions of the NBA architecture, and movement towards a consolidated data model for all AAS reporting.			
2012Proj06	Strategic Direction Implementation (SDI)	The AAS portfolio has been building a Strategic Direction (Business model) framework over the past 2 years. The effort has			

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
		<p>moved into the pilot implementation stage in FY 12 and has highlighted needs in a few areas that include systems support. Specifically, the integration of both a standalone pricing tool and manual inter-Client Support Center (CSC) facilitation template(s) into the AAS task order environment is needed.</p>			
2012Proj07	Webservice over BPMS	<p>Building off of work started in Phase 1, this project includes standard numbering, the integration of a business activity monitoring tool into the AAS portal, and the integration of the new Business Objects (Xir3) environment and (xcelsius) dashboard tools into AAS legacy environment. This project continues evolution toward web service consumption and tool integration. Acquisition Planning Workflow Automation (APWA) requirement integration efforts are expected to commence as well.</p>			

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
2012Proj01	AAS CAB Enhancements							
2012Proj02	Clause Manual Integration (CMI)							
2012Proj03	EFM Phase III							

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
2012Proj04	GWAC CAB Enhancements							
2012Proj05	RBA/NBA Consolidation							
2012Proj06	Strategic Direction Implementation (SDI)							
2012Proj07	Webservice over BPMS							

Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
2012Proj01	Release 1		2011-11-30	2011-11-30	2011-11-30	60	0	0.00%
2012Proj04	Release 1		2011-11-30	2011-11-30	2011-11-30	60	0	0.00%
2012Proj03	Release 1		2011-12-31	2011-12-31	2012-02-15	91	-46	-50.55%
2012Proj05	Agile Dev/Deploy Iteration 1		2012-01-31	2012-01-31	2011-12-31	122	31	25.41%
2012Proj05	Agile Dev/Deploy Iteration 2		2012-04-30	2012-04-30	2012-02-29	89	61	68.54%
2012Proj02	Pre-award workflow - planning		2012-04-30	2012-04-30	2012-04-30	60	0	0.00%
2012Proj07	Central Invoice Processing Service Phase 1		2012-04-30	2012-04-30	2012-04-30	151	0	0.00%
2012Proj03	Invoice process modification phase 1		2012-04-30	2012-04-30	2012-04-30	89	0	0.00%
2012Proj04	Release 2		2012-04-30	2012-04-30	2012-04-30	89	0	0.00%
2012Proj01	Release 2		2012-05-31	2012-05-31	2012-04-30	91	31	34.07%
2012Proj05	Consolidated Access/Invoicing Phase 1		2012-07-31	2012-07-31		91	-31	-34.07%
2012Proj05	Attachment		2012-09-30	2012-09-30		273	0	0.00%

Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
	Consolidation							
2012Proj06	Zero Dollar Award Function		2012-09-30	2012-09-30		152	0	0.00%
2012Proj03	Invoice process modification phase 2		2012-09-30	2012-09-30		152	0	0.00%

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Technical Support Responsiveness average time for Priority 1 incidents measured as time from incident open to closure	Days	Process and Activities - Productivity	Over target	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	Quarterly
Average Customer Satisfaction Score	Decimal	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	4.750000	4.800000	4.880000	4.800000	Quarterly
Incorporated changes to improve organizational reporting and financial reconciliation	LOE Reduction Percentage	Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources	Over target	30.000000	30.000000	30.000000	30.000000	Quarterly
Quality of changes deployed including number of changes that were deployed containing errors	SW Deployment Error Percentage	Technology - Effectiveness	Over target	1.500000	1.000000	1.300000	1.000000	Quarterly
System Availability to Customers during core business hours	% Points	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	99.800000	Monthly